

Customer No.: 31561  
Docket No.: 12251-US-PA  
Application No.: 10/707,195

**To the Drawings:**

The attached sheet of drawings includes changes to Figs. 1 and 2. The two sheets, which include Figs. 1 and 2 respectively designated by a legend of "Prior Art", replace the original sheets including Figs. 1 and 2.

Attachment: Replacement Sheet Annotated Sheet Showing Changes

Customer No.: 31561  
Docket No.: 12251-US-PA  
Application No.: 10/707,195

### **REMARKS**

This is a full and timely response to the outstanding Office Action mailed Aug. 23, 2007. Applicant submits that claims 1, 3, 11, 16-18 are cancelled, claims 2, 4, 10 and 12 are amended. Applicant hereby submits the presently pending claims 2, 4-10, 12-15 are in their allowable forms.

#### **Discussion of Claims Objections**

According to the Office Action, claim 2 is objected to because of some informalities. In response thereto, Applicant has amended the "all of the IP phone with the built-in gateway server a function of telephone conference" to "all of the IP phones serve a function of telephone conferencing" in claim 2. No new matters have been added to the application by the amendment made herein.

#### **Discussion of the Drawings:**

Figs. 1 and 2 are objected to because that Figs. 1 and 2 should be designated by a legend of "Prior Art" because only that which is old is illustrated. In response thereto, Figs. 1 and 2 are respectively designated by a legend of "Prior Art", to replace the original sheets including Figs. 1 and 2.

#### **Discussion of Claims Rejections under 35 USC §103**

The Office Action rejected claim 1, 2, 5-7, 8, 9, 10, 13-15, 16-18 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over prior arts as cited. However, claims 3-4 and 11-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

In response thereto, Applicant has respectively introduced all of the limitations

Customer No.: 31561  
Docket No.: 12251-US-PA  
Application No.: 10/707,195

of claims 3 and 11 into claims 2 and 10, which renders claims 2 and 10 allowable.

Applicant respectfully points out that if independent claims 2 and 10 are allowable over the prior art of record, then its dependent claims 4~9 and 12~15 are allowable as a matter of law, because these dependent claim contain all features of their respective independent claims 2 and 10.

Customer No.: 31561  
Docket No.: 12251-US-PA  
Application No.: 10/707,195

### CONCLUSION

For at least the foregoing reasons, it is believed that claims 2, 4~9, 10 and 12~15 are in proper condition for allowance and an action to such effect is earnestly solicited. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would expedite the examination of the above-identified patent application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Date :

Nov. 23, 2007

Belinda Lee

Belinda Lee

Registration No.: 46,863

Jianq Chyun Intellectual Property Office  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor-1, No. 100  
Roosevelt Road, Section 2  
Taipei, 100  
Taiwan  
Tel: 011-886-2-2369-2800  
Fax: 011-886-2-2369-7233  
Email: [belinda@jciipgroup.com.tw](mailto:belinda@jciipgroup.com.tw)  
[Usa@jciipgroup.com.tw](mailto:Usa@jciipgroup.com.tw)